Inspection Output (IOR)

Generated on 2021. October. 14 12:04

Inspection Information

Inspection Name 8299 Seaport Standard

Status STARTED
Start Year 2021
System Type HL

Protocol Set ID WA.HL.2021.01

Operator(s) SEAPORT SOUND TERMINAL, LLC (39906)

Lead Derek Norwood

Team Members Anthony Dorrough

Observer(s) Scott Rukke, David Cullom, Dennis Ritter, Lex Vinsel, Deborah Becker,

Scott Anderson, Darren Tinnerstet, Rell Koizumi

Director Sean Mayo

Plan Submitted 10/14/2021

Plan Approval --

All Activity Start 09/27/2021 All Activity End 09/30/2021

Inspection Submitted --

Inspection Approval --

Inspection Summary

Inspection Scope and Summary

This inspection was conducted at Seaport Sound Terminal in Tacoma, WA on September 27 and September 28, 2021. The inspection included an inspection of Seaport's operation and maintenance records for the pipeline and breakout tank (Tank 205). Field inspection included rectifier inspections, ROW patrol, breakout tank visual inspection, overfill alarm testing and visual inspection of above ground pipeline. There were no areas of concern or probable violations found as a result of this inspection.

Recommend that during the next standard inspection, commission staff observe CP activities during Seaport's annual CP survey. Seaport brings in CP personnel from Transmontaigne to do CP testing so staff were unable to observe these activities during the inspection.

Facilities visited and Total AFOD

AFOD: 2 days

Summary of Significant Findings

(DO NOT Discuss Enforcement options)

There were no areas of concern or probable violations found as a result of this inspection.

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Ted Lilyeblade Terminal Manager (253) 579-1954

Matthew Kolata EH&S Specialist (253) 579-1947

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Edward Luebke 4130 E 11th St Tacoma, WA 98421

Scope (Assets)

# Short Label	Long Label	Asset Type	Asset IDs	Excluded Topics	Planned	Required	Total Inspected	Required % Complete
1. 88920	Seaport Sound Terminal	unit	88920	Offshore GOM HVL CO2 Biofuels Reg Rural Gather Rural Low Stress Abandoned	131	131	131	100.0%

1. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

Plans

Plan # Assets	Focus Directives	Involved Groups/Subgroups	Qst Type(s)	Extent Notes
1. 88920	BO Tank Inspection, Baseline Records (Form 3), Baseline Pipeline Field Inspection (Form 3)	AR, CR, DC, TDC, EP, FS, IM, MO, PD, RPT, SRN, TD, TQ, GENERIC	R, O	Detail

Plan Implementations

Activity # Name	SMART Act#	Start Date End Date	Focus Directives	Involved Groups/Subgroups	Assets	Qst Type(s)	Planned Req	uired Ins	Total pected	Required % Complete
1. Records		09/27/2021 09/30/2021	/ -	all planned questions	all assets	P, R	98	98	98	100.0%
2. Field		09/27/2021 09/30/2021	,	all planned questions	all assets	0	33	33	33	100.0%

- 1. Since questions may be implemented in multiple activities, but answered only once, questions may be represented more than once in this table.
- 2. Percent completion excludes unanswered questions planned as "always observe".

Forms

This inspection has no Form data entry.

Results (all values, 133 results)

157 (instead of 133) results are listed due to re-presentation of questions in more than one sub-group.

CR.CRMRR: Roles and Responsibilities

1. Question Result, ID, Sat, CR.CRMRR.PRESSLIMITS.O, 195.446(b)(2)

Question Text Are controllers aware of the current MOPs of all pipeline segments for which they are responsible, and have they been assigned the responsibility to maintain those pipelines at or below the MOP?

Assets Covered 88920

CR.LD: Leak Detection (Non-CPM)

2. Question Result, ID, NA, CR.LD.EVAL.R, 195.444(a) (195.444(b), 195.134(a), 195.134(b),) References

Question Text Do records show that the operator evaluated the capability of its leak detection system to protect the public, property, and the environment and modified as necessary?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has a CPM leak detection system

3. Question Result, ID, NA, CR.LD.LDSYSTEM.R, 195.404(c) (195.134(b), 195.444(b))
References

Question Text Do records demonstrate the operator's leak detection system is performing within the system design requirements?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has a CPM leak detection system

4. Question Result, ID, NA, CR.LD.LDTRAINING.R, 195.507(a) (195.507(b))

Question Text Do records show that pipeline controllers are trained to recognize leaks using the chosen leak detection method/system?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has a CPM leak detection system

5. Question Result, ID, Sat, CR.LD.LDTRAINING.O, 195.505

Question Text Are the Pipeline Controllers trained to recognize leaks?

Assets Covered 88920

6. Question Result, ID, Sat, CR.LD.ALARMDISPLAY.O, 195.444(b)

Question Text Are the Leak Detection alarms adequate?

Assets Covered 88920

7. Question Result, ID, NA, CR.LD.LDSTEST.R, 195.134(b) (195.444(b))
References

Question Text Have leak detection system testing records and results been retained/available and indicate adequate results?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has a CPM leak detection system

8. Question Result, ID, NA, CR.LD.LDSINSTRUMENT.R, 195.444(b) (195.446(j))
References

Question Text Do records indicate the calibration of field instrumentation used in the leak detection system was performed?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has a CPM leak detection system

DC.CO: Construction

9. Question Result, ID, Sat, DC.CO.VALVEPROTECT.O, 195.258(a)

Question Text Are valves accessible to authorized employees and protected from damage or tampering?

Assets Covered 88920

10. Question Result, ID, Sat, DC.CO.VALVELOCATION.O, 195.260(a) (195.260(b), 195.260(c), 195.260(d), 195.260(e), References 195.260(f))

Question Text Are valves located as specified by 195.260?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Valves located at both ends which is 3 miles long

11. Question Result, ID, Sat, DC.CO.RECORDS.R, 195.266(a) (195.266(b), 195.266(c), 195.266(d), 195.266(e), 195.266(f))
References

Question Text Do records indicate that construction records are being maintained for the life of each pipeline?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Initial construction records available for pipeline and tank 205

DC.WELDINSP: Construction Weld Inspection

12. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDT.R, 195.234(d) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: TDC.WELDINSP) References

Question Text Do records demonstrate at least 10% of all welds that are made by each welder during each welding day are nondestructively tested over the entire circumference of the welds or that more welds are tested per the operator's own procedures?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No welding has been performed since initial construction

13. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDTLOCATE.R, 195.234(e) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: References TDC.WELDINSP)

Question Text Do records demonstrate all girth welds installed each day in selected locations specified in 195.234(e) are nondestructively tested over their entire circumference?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No welding has been performed since initial construction

14. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDTUSED.R, 195.234(f) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: TDC.WELDINSP)

Question Text Do records demonstrate that when installing used pipe, 100% of the old girth welds are nondestructively tested?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has not installed used pipe

15. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDTTIEIN.R, 195.234(g) (195.266(a)) (also presented in:

References TDC.WELDINSP)

Question Text Do records demonstrate 100% of the girth welds have been nondestructively tested at selected pipe tieins?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No tie-in welds during the life of the pipe

DC.WELDERQUAL: Construction Welder Qualification

16. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDERQUAL.WELDERQUAL.R, 195.222(a) (195.222(b), 195.214(a), API-1104 Section 6, ASME References Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code Section IX) (also presented in: TDC.WELDERQUAL)

Question Text Do records indicate that welders are qualified in accordance with API-1104 or the ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes There has been no welding since initial construction

DC.WELDPROCEDURE: Construction Welding Procedures

17. Question Result, ID, References Sat, DC.WELDPROCEDURE.WELDPROCEDURE.R, 195.214(b) (also presented in: TDC.WELDPROCEDURE)

Question Text Do records indicate welding procedures and qualifying tests recorded in detail?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Weld procedures available with qualifying tests

DC.PT: Pressure Testing

18. Question Result, ID, Sat, DC.PT.PRESSTEST.R, 195.310 (195.305(b))

Question Text Are pressure test records available and adequate?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Pressure testing records available, reviewed charts from initial construction, tested 615 to 675 psig for 8 hours

19. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.PT.PRESSTEST.O, 195.302(a) (195.304, 195.305(a), 195.305(b), 195.306(a), 195.306(b), References 195.306(c), 195.306(d), 195.307(a), 195.307(b), 195.307(c), 195.307(d), 195.307(e), 195.308

Question Text Is pressure testing being adequately conducted?

8299 Seaport Standard

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No pressure testing conducted during the inspection

20. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.PT.PRESSTESTTIEIN.R, 195.308

References

Question Text Do records indicate pipe associated with tie-ins has been pressure tested?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No tie-ins

TDC.650REGS: New API 650 Tanks - Part 195 Requirements

21. Question Result, ID, NA, TDC.650REGS.TANKSPEC.R, 195.132(b)(3) (API Std 650) References

> Ouestion Text Do the design records and drawings indicate new aboveground atmospheric breakout tanks are designed and constructed to the specifications required by 195.132(b)(3)?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has original records for Tank 205 but no new breakout tanks have been constructed.

22. Question Result, ID, NA, TDC.650REGS.REPAIRSPEC.R, 195.205(b)(1) (API Std 650, API Std 653) References

> Question Text Do records indicate breakout tanks were repaired, altered, or reconstructed in compliance with the requirements of 195.205(b)(1)?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes There have been no repairs or reconstruction to the breakout tank

23. Ouestion Result, ID, NA, TDC.650REGS.CPDESIGN.O, 195.565 (195.563(d), API RP 651, Section 6.3.4, API RP 651, Section References 6.3.5, API RP 651, Section 7.2.1)

Question Text Do field observations confirm new breakout tanks have cathodic protection installed in accordance with 195.565?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No new breakout tanks

TDC.WELDPROCEDURE: New Tank Piping - Construction Welding Procedures (Re-Presented)

24. Question Result, ID, Sat, DC.WELDPROCEDURE.WELDPROCEDURE.R, 195.214(b) (also presented in: DC.WELDPROCEDURE)

Question Text Do records indicate welding procedures and qualifying tests recorded in detail?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Weld procedures available with qualifying tests

TDC.WELDERQUAL: New Tank Piping - Construction Welder Qualification (Re-Presented)

25. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDERQUAL.WELDERQUAL.R, 195.222(a) (195.222(b), 195.214(a), API-1104 Section 6, ASME References Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code Section IX) (also presented in: DC.WELDERQUAL)

Question Text Do records indicate that welders are qualified in accordance with API-1104 or the ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes There has been no welding since initial construction

TDC.WELDINSP: New Tank Piping - Construction Weld Inspection (Re-Presented)

26. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDT.R, 195.234(d) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: DC.WELDINSP)

Question Text Do records demonstrate at least 10% of all welds that are made by each welder during each welding day are nondestructively tested over the entire circumference of the welds or that more welds are tested per the operator's own procedures?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No welding has been performed since initial construction

8299 Seaport Standard Page 5 of 23 27. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDTLOCATE.R, 195.234(e) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: References DC.WELDINSP)

Question Text Do records demonstrate all girth welds installed each day in selected locations specified in 195.234(e) are nondestructively tested over their entire circumference?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No welding has been performed since initial construction

28. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDTUSED.R, 195.234(f) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: DC.WELDINSP) References

Question Text Do records demonstrate that when installing used pipe, 100% of the old girth welds are nondestructively tested?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has not installed used pipe

29. Question Result, ID, NA, DC.WELDINSP.GIRTHWELDNDTTIEIN.R, 195.234(g) (195.266(a)) (also presented in: DC.WELDINSP)

Question Text Do records demonstrate 100% of the girth welds have been nondestructively tested at selected pipe tieins?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No tie-in welds during the life of the pipe

EP.ERL: Emergency Response Liquids

30. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERL.LIAISON.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4) (also References presented in: PD.PA)

Question Text Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Emails to FD Station 3 annually and working to establish contact with Station 5 (New FD in Port of Tacoma), FD attends drills, PA material sent to public officials, Matthew Kolata attends LEPC meetings quarterly

31. Question Result, ID, References Sat, EP.ERL.NOTICES.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(e)(1))

Question Text Do records indicate receiving, identifying, classifying and communicating notices of events requiring immediate response in accordance with procedures?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Emergency Shutdown on 9/22/21, pipeline automatically shutdown due to high-high alarm, received call from Olympic 6 minutes later

No other events have occurred since the last inspection

32. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERL.AUTHORITIES.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(e)(7))

Question Text Do records indicate that notifications were made to fire, police, and other appropriate public officials of hazardous liquid emergencies and were coordinated with preplanned and actual responses (including additional precautions necessary for an emergency involving HVLs)?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes The event on 9/22/21 had no product release so no need to notify fire or police. They did notify the UTC within 24 hours as required by WAC 480-75

33. Question Result, ID, NA, EP.ERL.POSTEVNTREVIEW.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(e)(7), 195.402(e)(9))

Question Text Do records indicate post-accident reviews of employee activities were performed to determine whether the procedures were effective in each emergency and take corrective action where deficiencies are found?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has had no accidents

34. Question Result, ID, NA, EP.ERL.COMMSYS.R, 195.408(b)

Question Text Do records indicate emergency communication system(s) use was as required?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has had no accidents so there has been no use the emergency communication system. They do test it during drills

EP.ETR: Emergency Training of Personnel

35. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ETR.TRAINING.R, 195.403(a) References

Question Text Do records indicate the operator provided training to its emergency response personnel as required?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes All operators receive 24 hour HAZWOPER training with annual 8 hour refreshers, Oil handler training approved by Dept of Ecology, Management positions receive FEMA ICS-100 and -200 training, Reviewed HAZWOPER and Oil Handler training records for operators and Mathew Kolata's ICS certificate

36. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ETR.TRAININGREVIEW.R, 195.403(b) References

> Question Text Have annual reviews of the emergency response training program been conducted and appropriate changes made as necessary to ensure it is effective?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Facility Response Plan reviewed annually and changes shared with emergency response personnel

37. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ETR.TRAININGSUPERVISE.R, 195.403(c) References

> Question Text Do records indicate verification that supervisors are knowledgeable of emergency response procedures for which they are responsible?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Supervisors take HAZWOPER training (24 hour and 8 hour refresher), oil handlers training, ICS training and participate in drills

38. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ETR.TRAININGSUPERVISE.O, 195.403(c) References

Question Text Do emergency response supervisors demonstrate adequate skills and knowledge?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Supervisors were competent and knowledgeable of emergency response procedures

FS.TSAPIINSPECT: Tanks and Storage - Inspection

References

39. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINSPECTION.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(a))

Question Text Do records document that breakout tanks that are not steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks or HVL steel tanks built according to API 2510 have been inspected at the proper interval and that deficiencies found during inspections have been corrected?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has no API 2510 tanks

40. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINSRVCINSP.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(b))

Question Text Do records document that steel atmospheric or low pressure breakout tanks have received routine inservice inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found during inspections have been documented?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes 5-year in service inspection performed March 2, 2018 by Mistras. There were no significant findings or recommendations

41. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOEXTINSP.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(b)) References

> Question Text Do records document that steel atmospheric or low pressure breakout tanks have received external inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies documented during inspections have been corrected within a reasonable time frame?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes 5-year in service inspection performed March 2, 2018 by Mistras. There were no significant findings or recommendations. Monthly visual and alarm inspections checked monthly. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

42. Question Result, ID, References Sat, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOEXTUTINSP.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(b))

Question Text Do records document that steel atmospheric or low pressure breakout tanks have received ultrasonic thickness inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found during inspections have been documented?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes 5-year in service inspection performed March 2, 2018 by Mistras. UT was performed during this inspection, No deficiencies

43. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINTINSP.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(b))

Question Text Do records document that steel atmospheric or low pressure breakout tanks have received formal internal inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found during inspections have been documented?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Internal inspection has not been performed. The 10-year in-service inspection is due next year

44. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOEXTINSPAPI2510.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(c)) References

Question Text Do records document that in-service pressure steel aboveground breakout tanks built to API Standard 2510 have received visual external inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found have been corrected?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has no API 2510 breakout tanks

45. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TSAPIINSPECT.BOINTINSPAPI2510.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.432(c))

Question Text Do records document that in-service pressure steel aboveground breakout tanks built to API Standard 2510 received internal inspections at the required intervals and that deficiencies found have been corrected?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has no API 2510 breakout tanks

46. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.BOINSPECTION.O, 195.432(a) (195.432(b), 195.432(c), 195.401(b)) (also presented in: References FS.TS)

Question Text Is the condition of steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks acceptable?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No visible deformations, cracks, dents, etc in the tank. No settlement or indications of leaks

FS.FG: Facilities General

47. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O, 195.436 (also presented in: PD.SN)

Question Text Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes All gates and valves were locked

48. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.O, 195.438 (also presented in: PD.SN) References

Question Text Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?

Assets Covered 88920

49. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O, 195.434 (also presented in: PD.SN)

Question Text Are there operator signs around each pumping station, breakout tank area, and other applicable facilities? Assets Covered 88920

50. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.FIREPROT.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.430(a), 195.430(b), 195.430(c))

Question Text Are records of inspections of firefighting equipment adequate?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Foam system inspected every 2 years, fire extinguishers inspected annually and updated tag. Seaport personnel do monthly checks on fire extinguishers at breakout tank and pump station

Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

51. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.FIREPROT.O, 195.430(a) (195.430(b), 195.430(c), 195.262(e)) References

Question Text Has adequate fire protection equipment been installed at pump station/breakout tank areas and is it maintained properly?

Assets Covered 88920

52. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.FG.PSFIREPROTPWR.O, 195.262(e)

Question Text Has motive power, separate from pump station power, been provided for that fire protection equipment that incorporates pumps?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No such relevant facilities/equipment existed in the scope of inspection review.

FS.PS: Pump Stations

53. Question Result, ID, References Sat, MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.R, 195.404(c) (195.428(a)) (also presented in: MO.LMOPP)

Question Text Do records indicate inspection and testing of each overpressure safety device on its non-HVL pipelines at intervals not to exceed 15 months, but at least once each calendar year?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes PRV and thermal reliefs switched out annually and sent to Bay Valve Service Inc to be bench tested.

Reviewed records for 2018, 2019 and 2020. 2021 is about to be sent for testing

Pressure transmitters tested annually NTE 15 month. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

54. Question Result, ID, NA, MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGTESTHVL.R, 195.404(c) (195.428(a)) (also presented in: MO.LMOPP) References

Question Text Do records indicate inspection and testing of each overpressure safety device on HVL pipelines at intervals not to exceed 7.5 months, but at least twice each calendar year?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport does not transport HVLs

55. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.PS.VENTILATION.O, 195.262(a)

Question Text Has adequate ventilation been provided at pump station buildings?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes The pump station has no buildings

56. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.PS.VAPORALARM.O, 195.262(a)

Question Text Have warning devices that warn of the presence of hazardous vapors been installed at pump station buildings?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes The pump station has no buildings

57. Question Result, ID, References Sat, MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.O, 195.428(a) (also presented in: MO.LMOPP)

Question Text Are inspections of overpressure safety devices adequate (including HVL lines)?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport was operating during the field inspection so no devices were tested

58. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.PS.PSESD.O, 195.262(b)

Question Text Has a device for activating emergency shutdown of the pump station been installed?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Emergency shutdowns were visible at the pump station

59. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.PS.PSAUXPWR.O, 195.262(b)

Question Text If power is needed to actuate safety devices, has an auxiliary power supply been provided?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Battery backup at pump station

60. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.PS.PSLOCATION.O, 195.262(d)

Question Text Has on-shore pumping equipment been installed on property under the control of the operator and is that equipment at least 50 feet from the boundary of that property?

Assets Covered 88920

61. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LMOPP.LAUNCHRECVRELIEF.O, 195.426 (also presented in: MO.LMOPP)

Question Text Are launchers and receivers equipped with relief devices?

Assets Covered 88920

FS.TS: Tanks and Storage

62. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TS.PRVTESTHVLBO.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.428(b))
References

Question Text Do records document testing and inspection of relief valves on HVL pressure breakout tanks at the required frequency?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport does not transport HVLs

63. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.OVERFILLBO.R, 195.404(c)(3) (195.428(a), 195.428(c), 195.428(d))

Question Text Do records document the inspection and testing of overfill protection devices on aboveground breakout tanks at the required interval? [Note: This question applies to both non-HVL and HVL pressure breakout tanks.]

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Tested monthly, with BO Tank inspection. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

64. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.OVERFILLBO.O, 195.428(c)

Question Text Do selected overfill protection systems on aboveground breakout tanks that were constructed or significantly altered after October 2, 2000 function properly and are they in good mechanical condition? [Note: This question applies to both non-HVL and HVL pressure breakout tanks.]

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Tested overfill device on the breakout tank, received confirmation from the control room and from Olympic that the alarm was received

65. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.BOINSPECTION.O, 195.432(a) (195.432(b), 195.432(c), 195.401(b)) (also presented in: References FS.TSAPIINSPECT)

Question Text Is the condition of steel atmospheric or low pressure tanks acceptable?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No visible deformations, cracks, dents, etc in the tank. No settlement or indications of leaks

66. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.IGNITIONBO.R, 195.404(c) (195.405(a))

Question Text Do records indicate protection against ignitions arising out of static electricity, lightning, and stray currents during operation and maintenance activities of aboveground breakout tanks?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Tank 205 is grounded, operators inspect grounding rods during monthly inspections. When gauging the tank, personnel must wait 30 minutes for static to dissipate, ground tape reel, etc.

67. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TS.FLOATINGROOF.R, 195.404(c) (195.405(b))

References

Question Text Do records indicate access/egress onto floating roofs of in-service aboveground breakout tanks to perform inspection, service, maintenance, or repair activities of in-service tanks is performed consistent with API Publication 2026?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

68. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.IMPOUNDBO.R, 195.404(c) (195.264(b))

Question Text If a breakout tank first went into service after October 2, 2000 do records indicate it has an adequate impoundment?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Impoundment effective volume is 3,774,000 gallons and Tank 205 has a volume of 197,970 gallons

69. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.IMPOUNDBO.O, 195.264(b) References

> Question Text If a breakout tank first went into service after October 2, 2000 does it have an adequate impoundment? Assets Covered 88920

70. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.TS.VENTBO.R, 195.404(c) (195.264(d)) References

> Question Text Do records indicate that normal/emergency relief venting and pressure/vacuum-relieving devices installed on aboveground breakout tanks after October 2, 2000 are adequate?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Internal floating roof tank with vent at the top, no risk of overpressure or vacuum

71. Question Result, ID, NA, FS.TS.PRESSTESTBO.R, 195.310(a) (195.310(b), 195.307) References

> Question Text Have aboveground breakout tanks been pressure tested to their corresponding API or ASME Standard or Specification and do pressure test records contain the required information?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No hydrostatic testing done since the last inspection. Tank 205 was tested after initial construction

FS.VA: Valves

72. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.R, 195.404(c) (195.420(a), 195.420(b)) (also presented in: MO.LM) References

Question Text Do records indicate each mainline valve was inspected as required?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Performed twice per year, reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

73. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.O, 195.420(a) (195.420(c)) (also presented in: MO.LM) References

Question Text Do the pipeline system valves appear to be in good working order and are they protected from unauthorized operation?

Assets Covered 88920

MO.LO: Liquid Pipeline Operations

74. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LO.OMMANUALREVIEW.R, 195.402(a)

Question Text Do records indicate annual reviews of the written procedures in the manual were conducted as required? Assets Covered 88920

75. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LO.OMHISTORY.R, 195.404(a) (195.404(c), 195.9, 195.402(c)(1))

Question Text Do records indicate current maps and records of the pipeline system are maintained and made available as necessary?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes NPMS submissions annually, the pipeline has not had any changes since initial construction, maps are available to operator personnel

76. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LO.OMEFFECTREVIEW.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(c)(13), 195.404(a)) References

Question Text Do records indicate periodic review of the work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the procedures used in normal operation and maintenance and corrective action taken where deficiencies are found?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Annual Operator Performance reviews completed by Rob Cohee, Pipeline supervisor

MO.LOMOP: Liquid Pipeline MOP

77. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LOMOP.MOPDETERMINE.R, 195.402(c)(3) (195.406(a), 195.406(b), 195.302(b), 195.302(c))

Question Text Do records indicate the maximum operating pressure was established in accordance with 195.406?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes MOP of 540 psig established by pressure test

MO.LMOPP: Liquid Pipeline Overpressure Protection

78. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.R, 195.404(c) (195.428(a)) (also presented in: FS.PS)

Question Text Do records indicate inspection and testing of each overpressure safety device on its non-HVL pipelines at intervals not to exceed 15 months, but at least once each calendar year?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes PRV and thermal reliefs switched out annually and sent to Bay Valve Service Inc to be bench tested.

Reviewed records for 2018, 2019 and 2020. 2021 is about to be sent for testing

Pressure transmitters tested annually NTE 15 month. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

79. Question Result, ID, NA, MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGTESTHVL.R, 195.404(c) (195.428(a)) (also presented in: FS.PS)

Question Text Do records indicate inspection and testing of each overpressure safety device on HVL pipelines at intervals not to exceed 7.5 months, but at least twice each calendar year?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport does not transport HVLs

80. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.O, 195.428(a) (also presented in: FS.PS)

Question Text Are inspections of overpressure safety devices adequate (including HVL lines)?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport was operating during the field inspection so no devices were tested

81. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LMOPP.LAUNCHRECVRELIEF.O, 195.426 (also presented in: FS.PS)

Question Text Are launchers and receivers equipped with relief devices?

Assets Covered 88920

MO.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring and Analysis

82. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.R, 195.412(a) (195.412(b)) (also presented in: PD.RW)

Question Text Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Performed weekly, reviewed records from 2019, 2020 and 2021

MO.ABNORMAL: Liquid Pipeline Abnormal Operations

83. Question Result, ID, References Sat, MO.ABNORMAL.ABNORMAL.R, 195.404(b) (195.402(d)(1))

Question Text Do records indicate operator's personnel responded to indications of abnormal operations as required by the written procedures?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Unintended valve closure in September 2021, at time of inspection Seaport is still performing review of personnel activities and possible procedure changes

Emergency shutdown activated and Seaport made required notification and conducted physical inspection of boost station and piping

MO.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring and Analysis

84. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O, 195.412(a) (also presented in: PD.RW)

Question Text Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes The pipeline is 3 miles long and is driven and walked for patrolling. Patrolled weekly

MO.LM: Liquid Pipeline Maintenance

85. Question Result, ID, References Sat, MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.R, 195.404(c) (195.420(a), 195.420(b)) (also presented in: FS.VA)

Question Text Do records indicate each mainline valve was inspected as required?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Performed twice per year, reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

MO.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring and Analysis

86. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O, 195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c)) (also presented in: PD.RW)

Question Text Are line markers placed and maintained as required?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Markers visible along entire ROW

MO.LM: Liquid Pipeline Maintenance

87. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.LM.VALVEMAINT.O, 195.420(a) (195.420(c)) (also presented in: FS.VA)

Question Text Do the pipeline system valves appear to be in good working order and are they protected from unauthorized operation?

Assets Covered 88920

MO.ABNORMAL: Liquid Pipeline Abnormal Operations

88. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.ABNORMAL.ABNORMALREVIEW.R, 195.404(b) (195.402(d)(5))
References

Question Text Do records indicate post-event reviews of actions taken by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the abnormal operation procedures and whether corrective actions were taken where deficiencies were found?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Unintended valve closure in September 2021, at time of inspection Seaport is still performing review of personnel activities and possible procedure changes

MO.LC: Liquid Conversion

89. Question Result, ID, NA, MO.LC.CONVERSION.R, 195.5(c) (195.5(a))

Ouestion Text Do records indicate the process was followed for converting any pipelines into Part 195 service?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No lines have been converted to liquid service

MO.EW: Extreme Weather

90. Question Result, ID, NA, MO.EW.EXTWEATHERINSPIMPL.R, 195.404(c) (195.414(a), 195.414(b), 195.414(c), 195.414(d))

Question Text Do records indicate the operator conducted the required inspection following and extreme weather or natural disaster event?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes There have been no extreme weather events since the last inspection

91. Question Result, ID, NA, MO.EW.EXTWEATHERINSPSAFE.O, 195.414(d)

Question Text Are the pipeline facilities that were affected by an extreme weather or natural disaster event back to a safe operating condition?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No extreme weather events have occurred

PD.DP: Damage Prevention

92. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.DP.PROGRAM.R, 195.442(a)

Question Text Do records indicate the damage prevention program is being carried out as written?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Reviewed a sample of dig tickets from 2021, no tickets exceed 2 day requirements

PD.PA: Public Awareness

93. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R, 195.440(d) (195.440(e), 195.440(f), API RP 1162 Section 2.2, API RP 1162 References Section 3)

Question Text Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes PA Plan describes how Paradigm identifies stakeholder, Seaport has excel file available with all stake holders listed

94. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EDUCATE.R, 195.440(d) (195.440(f)) References

Question Text Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Reviewed PA brochure, all required information was included

95. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R, 195.440(e) (195.440(f))

Question Text Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility location?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes USPS transaction receipt from 10/30/2020

8299 Seaport Standard

Page 14 of 23

96. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1)
References

Question Text Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1?

Assets Covered 88920

97. Question Result, ID, Sat, EP.ERL.LIAISON.R, 195.402(a) (195.402(c)(12), 195.440(c), API RP 1162 Section 4.4) (also References presented in: EP.ERL)

Question Text Do records indicate that liaison has been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, public officials, and utility owners?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Emails to FD Station 3 annually and working to establish contact with Station 5 (New FD in Port of Tacoma), FD attends drills, PA material sent to public officials, Matthew Kolata attends LEPC meetings quarterly

98. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R, 195.440(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)

Question Text Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes PA brochure in english and spanish

99. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R, 195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Has an audit or review of the public awareness program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Completed 5/19/21

100. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R, 195.440(c) (195.440(i), API RP 1162 Section 8.3)
References

Question Text Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of the public awareness program implementation?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Internal assessment being completed by Seaport

101. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

Question Text Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No changes made based on audit at this time

102. Question Result, ID, References Sat, PD.PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Sections 8.4)

Question Text Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program been performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Paradigm completed effectiveness for initial mailing

103. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)

Question Text In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Paradigm completed effectiveness for initial mailing

104. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)

Question Text In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Paradigm completed effectiveness for initial mailing

105. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3)

Question Text In evaluating program effectiveness, was evaluation made of whether appropriate preventive, response, and mitigative behaviors were understood and likely to be exhibited?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Effectiveness review included questions regarding response actions and how to prevent incidents

106. Question Result, ID, NA, PD.PA.MEASUREBOTTOM.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4)

Question Text Did the operator attempt to measure bottom-line results of the program by tracking third-party incidents and consequences including: (1) near misses, (2) excavation damages resulting in pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not result in pipeline failures?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has had no third part incidents or near misses

107. Question Result, ID, Sat, PD.PA.CHANGES.R, 195.440(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12), API RP 1162 Section 8.5)

Question Text Were needed changes and/or modifications to the program identified and documented based on the results and findings of the program effectiveness evaluations?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No program changes have been identified yet

PD.RW: ROW Markers, Patrols, Monitoring

108. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.PATROL.R, 195.412(a) (195.412(b)) (also presented in: MO.RW)

Question Text Do records indicate ROW surface conditions and crossings under navigable waterways were inspected, and reporting and appropriate mitigation performed?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Performed weekly, reviewed records from 2019, 2020 and 2021

109. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWCONDITION.O, 195.412(a) (also presented in: MO.RW)

Question Text Are the ROW conditions acceptable for the type of patrolling used?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes The pipeline is 3 miles long and is driven and walked for patrolling. Patrolled weekly

110. Question Result, ID, Sat, MO.RW.ROWMARKER.O, 195.410(a) (195.410(b), 195.410(c)) (also presented in: MO.RW) References

Question Text Are line markers placed and maintained as required?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Markers visible along entire ROW

PD.SN: Facilities Signage and Security

111. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.FACPROTECT.O, 195.436 (also presented in: FS.FG)

Question Text Are facilities adequately protected from vandalism and unauthorized entry?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes All gates and valves were locked

112. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.IGNITION.O, 195.438 (also presented in: FS.FG) References

Question Text Is there signage that prohibits smoking and open flames around pump stations, launchers and receivers, breakout tank areas, or other applicable facilities?

Assets Covered 88920

113. Question Result, ID, Sat, FS.FG.SIGNAGE.O, 195.434 (also presented in: FS.FG)

RPT.RR: Regulatory Reporting (Traditional)

114. Question Result, ID, Sat, RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORT.R, 195.49 (195.13(b), 195.15(b)) References Question Text Do the records indicate that complete and accurate Annual Reports have been submitted? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Reviewed annual reports for 2018, 2019 and 2020. All reports submitted by June 15th 115. Question Result, ID, NA, RPT.RR.GRAVITY.R, 195.49 (195.13(b)) Question Text Do Annual Reports include applicable information for gravity lines? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Seaport has no gravity lines 116. Question Result, ID, NA, RPT.RR.REGONLYGATHER.R, 195.49 (195.15(b)) Question Text Do Annual Reports include applicable information for regulated-only gathering lines? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Seaport has no gathering lines 117. Question Result, ID, NA, RPT.RR.ACCIDENTREPORT.R, 195.54(a) (195.50(a), 195.50(b), 195.50(c), 195.50(d), 195.50(e), References 195.13(b), 195.15(b)) Question Text Do records indicate the original accident reports were filed as required? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Seaport has had no accidents to report 118. Question Result, ID, NA, RPT.RR.ACCIDENTREPORTSUPP.R, 195.54(b) (195.13(b), 195.15(b)) Question Text Do records indicate accurate supplemental accident reports were filed and within the required timeframe? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Seaport has had no accidents to report 119. Question Result, ID, NA, RPT.RR.IMMEDREPORT.R, 195.52(a) (195.52(b), 195.52(c), 195.52(d)) Question Text Do records indicate immediate notifications of accidents were made in accordance with 195.52? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Seaport has had no accidents to report 120. Question Result, ID, NA, RPT.RR.SRCR.R, 195.56(a) (195.55(a), 195.55(b), 195.56(b), 195.13(b), 195.15(b)) Question Text Do records indicate safety-related condition reports were filed as required? Assets Covered 88920 Result Notes Seaport has had no safety related conditions to report 121. Question Result, ID, Sat, RPT.RR.NPMSANNUAL.R, 195.61(a) (195.61(b)) References Question Text Do records indicate: NPMS submissions are completed each year, on or before June 15, representing all

TD.ATM: Atmospheric Corrosion

Assets Covered 88920

122. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.R, 195.589(c) (195.583(a), 195.583(b), 195.583(c))

occurred an email to that effect was submitted?

Question Text Do records document inspection of aboveground pipe exposed to atmospheric corrosion?

Result Notes NPMS submissions completed by June 15th. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

in service, idle and retired assets as of December 31 of the previous year, and if no modifications

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Above ground piping is coated and is inspected every 3 years NTE 39 months. One coating issue found in 2018 and repaired by contractor

Pipeline recoated by MESA. Reviewed inspection and recoat records

123. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O, 195.583(c) (195.581(a))

Question Text Is aboveground pipe that is exposed to atmospheric corrosion protected?

Assets Covered 88920

TD.CPBO: External Corrosion - Breakout Tank Cathodic Protection

124. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CPBO.BO.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(d)) References

Ouestion Text Do records adequately document when and how cathodic protection systems were inspected on breakout

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes NACE SP0193

Completed 4/12/2019, 6/10/2020 and 8/3/2021

125. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CPBO.BO.O, 195.573(d)

Question Text Are cathodic protection monitoring tests performed correctly on breakout tank bottoms?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport did not have a CP Tech onsite to perform CP testing. CP testing is performed by TransMontaigne

126. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CPBO.DEFICIENCYBO.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(e)) References

> Question Text Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in breakout tank corrosion control?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Supplemental ground bed installed during initial CP commissioning in 2013 to ensure adequate protection

One reading low in 2020, new reading taken to confirm condition. No issue found after follow-up

TD.CP: External Corrosion - Cathodic Protection

References

127. Question Result, ID, Sat, TQ.QU.CORROSIONSUPERVISE.R, 195.589(c) (195.507(a), 195.507(b)) (also presented in: TQ.QU)

Question Text Is qualification of supervisors in corrosion control procedures documented?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Received OOs for Benjamin Morgan (TransMontaigne), all CP tasks are good until 2024

Benjamin Morgan

NACE Corrosion Technologist

NACE Coating Inspector Level 2

NACE CP Technician - CP2

Expire 10/31/2021

References

128. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CP.NEWOPERATE.R, 195.589(c) (195.563(a))

Question Text Do records document when cathodic protection was operational on constructed, relocated, replaced, converted to service, or otherwise changed pipelines?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes CP system online in 2013

129. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CP.UNPROTECT.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(b)(1), 195.573(b)(2))

Question Text Do records document the adequate re-evaluation of buried pipelines with no cathodic protection for areas of active corrosion?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport's pipeline is cathodically protected

130. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CP.ISOLATE.R, 195.589(c) (195.575(a), 195.575(b), 195.575(c), 195.575(d))

Question Text Do records document adequate electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Completed 4/12/2019, 6/10/2020 and 8/3/2021

131. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CP.ISOLATE.O, 195.575(a) (195.575(b), 195.575(c), 195.575(d))

Question Text Are measures performed to ensure electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport did not have a CP Tech onsite to perform CP testing. CP testing is performed by TransMontaigne

132. Question Result, ID, References Sat, TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(e)) (also presented in: TD.CPMONITOR, TD.CPEXPOSED)

Question Text Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Low read last year and Transmontaigne sent a CP tech to followup, Followup readings were good

133. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CP.MAPRECORD.R, 195.589(a) (195.589(b)) (also presented in: TD.CPMONITOR)

Question Text Do maps and or records document cathodic protection system appurtenances that have been installed on pipelines that have been constructed, relocated, replaced, or otherwise changed or been converted to hazardous liquid service?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Tank Farm map showed location of rectifiers and anode beds

TD.CPMONITOR: External Corrosion - Cathodic Protection Monitoring

134. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CPMONITOR.TESTLEADMAINT.R, 195.589(c) (195.567(c))

Question Text Do records document that CP test lead wires have been properly maintained?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Checked during annual CP surveys

135. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CPMONITOR.MONITOR.O, 195.571

Question Text Do the methods for taking CP monitoring readings allow for the application of appropriate CP monitoring criteria?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport did not have a CP Tech onsite to perform CP testing. CP testing is performed by TransMontaigne

136. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CPMONITOR.TEST.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(a)(1))

Question Text Do records adequately document required tests have been done on pipe that is cathodically protected? Assets Covered 88920

8299 Seaport Standard Page 19 of 23

Result Notes Completed 4/12/2019, 6/10/2020 and 8/3/2021

137. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CPMONITOR.CIS.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(a)(2))
References

Question Text Do records document, when circumstances dictated a need for close interval surveys, dates of completed surveys and analysis of completed surveys?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes CIS has not been performed

138. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(c)) References

Question Text Do records document adequate electrical checks of rectifiers, interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches and at the required intervals?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Monthly Inspections performed by Seaport. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

139. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.O, 195.573(c)

Question Text Are rectifiers, interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches properly maintained and are they functioning properly?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Rectifier #2

27.3 V, 50 A:50 mV shunt factor, 7.5 mV across the shunt, 7.5 A

Rectifier #3

22.94 V, 20 A:50 mV shunt factor, 2.6 mV across shunt, 1.04 A

Condition of rectifiers looked good

140. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.R, 195.589(c) (195.577(a))

Question Text Do records document that the operator has an effective program in place to minimize the detrimental effects of interference currents on their pipeline system, and is minimizing detrimental effects of interference currents from their CP systems on other underground metallic structures?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes In 2014 Targa identified AC interference as a low risk due to limited exposure to high voltage power lines and other sources. Same assessment made in 2017

141. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(e)) (also presented in: TD.CP, TD.CPEXPOSED)

Question Text Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Low read last year and Transmontaigne sent a CP tech to followup, Followup readings were good

142. Question Result, ID, References Sat, TD.CP.MAPRECORD.R, 195.589(a) (195.589(b)) (also presented in: TD.CP)

Question Text Do maps and or records document cathodic protection system appurtenances that have been installed on pipelines that have been constructed, relocated, replaced, or otherwise changed or been converted to hazardous liquid service?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Tank Farm map showed location of rectifiers and anode beds

TD.COAT: External Corrosion - Coatings

143. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.COAT.NEWPIPE.R, 195.589(c) (195.557(a), 195.559, 195.401(c))

Question Text Do records document that coatings for pipelines constructed, relocated, replaced, or otherwise changed meet the requirements of 195.559?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes There has been no new buried pipe installed since the last inspection

144. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.COAT.CONVERTPIPE.R, 195.589(c) (195.557(b), 195.559)

Question Text Do records document that pipelines that have been converted to liquid service and were constructed after the applicable date in 195.401(c) have external coating?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has no pipelines converted to hazardous liquid service

References

145. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.COAT.COATAPPLY.O, 195.561(a) (195.561(b), 195.559(b), 195.252(b))

Question Text Is protective coating adequately applied?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No such event occurred, or condition existed, in the scope of inspection review.

TD.CPEXPOSED: External Corrosion - Exposed Pipe

146. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CPEXPOSED.EXPOSEINSPECT.R, 195.589(c) (195.569) References

Question Text Do records document that exposed buried piping was adequately examined for corrosion and deteriorated

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes The pipeline has not been exposed since original construction

147. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.CPEXPOSED.EXTCORRODEREPAIR.R, 195.589(c) (195.585(a), 195.585(b)) References

> Question Text Do records document the repair or replacement of pipe that has been externally corroded to an extent that there is not sufficient remaining pipe wall strength?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No pipe has been found to be externally corroded requiring repair

148. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.CP.DEFICIENCY.R, 195.589(c) (195.573(e)) (also presented in: TD.CP, TD.CPMONITOR)

References Question Text Do records document adequate operator actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Low read last year and Transmontaigne sent a CP tech to followup, Followup readings were good

TD.ICP: Internal Corrosion - Preventive Measures

control?

149. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.ICP.INVESTREMED.R, 195.589(c) (195.579(a))

Question Text Do records document investigation and remediation of the corrosive effects of hazardous liquids or carbon dioxide being transported?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has had no indications of corrosive products affecting the pipeline

References

150. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.ICP.INHIBITOR.R, 195.589(c) (195.579(b)(1), 195.579(b)(2), 195.579(b)(3))

Question Text Do records document that corrosion inhibitors have been used in sufficient quantity?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Seaport has had no indications of corrosive products affecting the pipeline

151. Question Result, ID, NA, TD.ICP.EXAMINE.R, 195.589(c) (195.579(c), 195.579(a))

Question Text Do records document examination of removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No pipe has been removed since initial construction

152. Question Result, ID, Sat, TD.ICP.BOLINING.R, 195.589(c) (195.579(d))

Question Text Do records document the adequate installation of breakout tank bottom linings?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Reviewed initial construction records which indicated that the breakout tank contains a bottom lining. Expresscote HCR-FF (B62-250) @ 20.0-30.0 mil dft

TQ.OQ: Operator Qualification

153. Question Result, ID, Sat, TQ.OQ.OQCONTRACTOR.R, 195.507(a) (195.507(b))

Question Text Are adequate records containing the required elements maintained for contractor personnel?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Scott Corfman and Charles Poteet from MESA Products for CP testing

Measuring Structure-to-soil potential completed 7/16/21

Recon for Line Locates, Reviewed OQ Program Sean Franck completed 2/5/2021

154. Question Result, ID, Sat, TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R, 195.507(a) (195.507(b)) References

> Question Text Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Ted Lilyeblade

CP testing due 9/26/22

Reviewed a sampling of OOs for Testing overfill devices and visual tank inspection

TQ.QU: Qualification of Personnel - Specific Requirements

155. Question Result, ID, Sat, TQ.QU.CORROSIONSUPERVISE.R, 195.589(c) (195.507(a), 195.507(b)) (also presented in: TD.CP)

Question Text Is qualification of supervisors in corrosion control procedures documented?

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes Received OQs for Benjamin Morgan (TransMontaigne), all CP tasks are good until 2024

Benjamin Morgan

NACE Corrosion Technologist

NACE Coating Inspector Level 2

NACE CP Technician - CP2

Expire 10/31/2021

TQ.QUOMCONST: Qualification of Personnel - Specific Requirements (O and M Construction)

156. Question Result, ID, NA, TQ.QUOMCONST.INSPECTORQUAL.R, 195.204 References

> Question Text Do records indicate adequate qualification documentation for personnel who conduct pipe or pipeline system construction inspections? (TQ.QUOMCONST.INSPECTORQUAL.R) (detail)

Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No construction has been performed since the last UTC inspection

TQ.TROMCONST: Training of Personnel - O and M Construction

157. Question Result, ID, NA, TQ.TROMCONST.NDT.R, 195.234(b)(2)

Question Text *Is training for personnel, who perform nondestructive testing of welds, documented and demonstrated?*Assets Covered 88920

Result Notes No NDT has been performed since the last inspection

Report Parameters: Results: all

Except as required to be disclosed by law, any inspection documentation, including completed protocol forms, summary reports, executive summary reports, and enforcement documentation are for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators. Some inspection documentation may contain information which the operator considers to be confidential. In addition, supplemental inspection guidance and related documents in the file library are also for internal use only by federal or state pipeline safety regulators (with the exception of documents published in the federal register, such as advisory bulletins). Do not distribute or otherwise disclose such material outside of the state or federal pipeline regulatory organizations. Requests for such information from other government organizations (including, but not limited to, NTSB, GAO, IG, or Congressional Staff) should be referred to PHMSA Headquarters Management.

8299 Seaport Standard Report Filters: Results: all

G2 - HL Records & Field 8299

UTC Standard Inspection Report Intrastate Hazardous Liquid FORM G2: State-Specific Requirements

Inspector and Operator Information

Inspection Link	Inspector - Lead	Inspector - Assist
8299	Norwood, Derek	
Operator	Unit	Records Location - City & State
Seaport Sound Terminal	Seaport Sound Terminal	Tacoma, WA
Inspection Start Date	Inspection Exit Interview Date	Engineer Submit Date
09-27-2021	09-28-2021	10-14-2021

You must include the following in your inspection summary:

- *Inspection Scope and Summary
- *Facilities visited and Total AFOD
- * Summary of Significant Findings
- * Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Inspection Scope and Summary

This inspection was conducted at Seaport Sound Terminal in Tacoma, WA on September 27 and September 28, 2021. The inspection included an inspection of Seaport's operation and maintenance records for the pipeline and breakout tank (Tank 205). Field inspection included rectifier inspections, ROW patrol, breakout tank visual inspection, overfill alarm testing and visual inspection of above ground pipeline. There were no areas of concern or probable violations found as a result of this inspection.

Recommend that during the next standard inspection, commission staff observe CP activities during Seaport's annual CP survey. Seaport brings in CP personnel from Transmontaigne to do CP testing so staff were unable to observe these activities during the inspection.

Facilities visited and Total AFOD

AFOD: 2 days

Summary of Significant Findings

(DO NOT Discuss Enforcement options)

There were no areas of concern or probable violations found as a result of this inspection.

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Ted Lilyeblade Terminal Manager (253) 579-1954

Matthew Kolata EH&S Specialist (253) 579-1947

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Edward Luebke 4130 E 11th St Tacoma, WA 98421

Instructions and Ratings Definitions

INSTRUCTIONS INSPECTION RESULTS S - Satisfactory U - Unsatisfactory **Unsatisfactory Responses Unsatisfactory List** Area Of Concern **Area of Concern Responses** Area of Concern List N/A- Not Applicable (does not apply in this inspection) **Not Applicable Responses** Not Applicable List 4,5,6,9,10,11,18,23,24,25,26,27,31,32,33, N/C - Not Checked/Evaluated (was not inspected during this inspection) Not Checked / Evaluated Responses Not Checked / Evaluated List

RECORDS REVIEW

MAPPING RECORDS

Question 1

Has the operator provided accurate maps (or updates) of pipelines operating over 250PSIG to specifications developed by the commission and sufficient to meet the needs of first responders?

Q1 Reference Q1 Result Q1 Notes

RCW 81.88.080 Satisfactory Pipeline location data available in UTC GIS

Question 2

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.NPMSANNUAL.R Do records indicate: NPMS submissions are updated every 12 months if system modifications (excludes gathering lines) occurred, and if no modifications occurred an email to that effect was submitted to NPMS?

Q2 Reference Q2 Notes

WAC 480-75-600

NPMS submissions completed by June 15th. Reviewed records for 2019, 2020 and 2021

Question 3

Do records indicate that the operator prepares, maintains, and provides to the commission upon request copies of maps, drawings, and records that pertain to their system? Are these documents in sufficient scale and detail to show size and type of material of all facilities?

Q3 Reference Q3 Notes

WAC 480-75-600 Maps and drawing are available and were reviewed during initial construction. All records requested have been provided

REPORTING RECORDS

Question 4

Are telephonic reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9144 made within two hours for events which result in:a) A fatality;(b) Personal injury requiring hospitalization;(c) Fire or explosion not intentionally set by the pipeline company;(d) Spills of five gallons or more of product from the pipeline; (e) Damage to the property of the pipeline company and others of a combined total cost exceeding twenty-five thousand dollars (automobile collisions and other equipment accidents not involving hazardous liquid or hazardous-liquid-handling equipment need not be reported under this rule);(f) A significant occurrence in the judgment of the pipeline company, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) through (e) of this subsection;(g) The news media reports the occurrence, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) through (f) of this subsection.

Q4 Reference Q4 Result Q4 Notes

WAC 480-75- Not Seaport has had no incidents requiring notification within two hours

630(1) Applicable

Question 5

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.CONSTRUCTIONREPORT.R Has the operator reported all construction of new pipelines intended to operate at 20% SMYS or greater) at least 45 days prior to construction? This report must include the items specified in 480-75-610 (1) (a-h) For new construction operating below 20% SMYS, does the company submit written notice of proposed construction that includes project description and timeline? (NOTE: items a-h not required if operating under 20% SMYS

Q5 Reference Q5 Result Q5 Notes

WAC 480-75- Not Seaport operates below 20% SMYS and has had no new construction

610 Applicable

Question 6

Are written reports to the commission submitted within 30 calendar days of a reportable incident? Do the reports include the following?a) Name(s) and address(es) of any person or persons injured or killed or whose property was damaged;(b) The extent of injuries and damage;(c) A description of the incident including date, time, and place;(d) A description and maximum operating pressure of the pipeline implicated in the incident and the system operating pressure at the time of the incident;(e) The date and time the pipeline returns to safe operations; and(f) The date, time, and type of any temporary or permanent repair.

Q6 Reference Q6 Result Q6 Notes

WAC 480-75- Not Seaport has had no reportable events requiring a 30-day report

630(2) Applicable

Question 7

Do records indicate that telephonic reports are made to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification line made within twenty-four hours of emergency situations including emergency shutdowns, material defects, or physical damage that impairs the serviceability of the pipeline?

Q7 Reference Q7 Result Q7 Notes

WAC 480-75- Satisfactory Only one incident has occurred during this inspection period. The emergency shutdown occurred on 9/22/22 and commission staff received a notification on 9/22/22

Question 8

Does the operator file with the commission, not later than June 15 of each year, applicable to the preceding calendar year: A copy of PHMSA F-7000.1-1 Annual report; A report titled "Hazardous Liquid Annual Report Form", which can be obtained from the Pipeline Safety Section of the commission. This annual report must include in detail interstate and intrastate pipeline mileage in WA and a list of reportable and nonreportable safety related conditions as defined by 49 CFR 195.55

Q8 Reference Q8 Result Q8 Notes
WAC 480-75- Satisfactory

650

DAMAGE PREVENTION RECORDS

Question 9

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS RPT.RR.DIRTREPORTS.R In the event of excavation damage, does the operator: report to the commission the requirements set forth in RCW 19.122.053(3) (a) through (n)? Does the operator report the name, address, and phone number of the person or entity that the company has reason to believe may have caused damage due to excavations conducted without facility locates first being completed? Does the operator retain all damage and damage claim records it creates related to damage events, including photographs and documentation supporting the conclusion that a facilities locate was not completed, reported under subsection (b) of this section for a period of two years and make those records available to the commission upon request?

Q9 Reference Q9 Result Q9 Notes

WAC 480-75-630(4) Not No damages have occurred on the pipeline

Applicable

Question 10

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS PD.DP.NOTICETOEXCAVATOR.R Does the operator provide the following information to excavators who damage hazardous liquid pipeline facilities? Notification requirements for excavators under RCW 19.122.050(1) A description of the excavator's responsibilities for reporting damages under RCW 19.122.053; and Information concerning the safety committee referenced under RCW 19.122.130, including committee contact information, and the process for filing a complaint with the safety committee.

Q10 Reference - 2RCW 19.122 **Q10 Reference - 2**WAC 480-75-630(5)

Q10 Result Q10 Notes

Not Applicable No damages have occurred on the pipeline

Question 11

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS PD.DP.COMMISSIONREPORT.R Does the operator report to the commission only when the operator or its contractor observes or becomes aware of any of the following activities? An excavator digs within thirty-five feet of a transmission pipeline, as defined by RCW 19.122.020(26) without first obtaining a facilities locate; A person intentionally damages or removes marks indicating the location or presence of hazardous liquid pipeline facilities.

Q11 Result Q11 Notes

Not Applicable None of these events have occurred

Question 12

Does the operator have a quality assurance program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of facilities? Do records indicate that the operator conducts regular field audits of the performance of locators/contractors and take action when necessary?

Q12 Reference Q12 Result Q12 Notes

PHMSA State Program Satisfactory All locates are done by Recon, Seaport performs QA and photo review of all locates

Question

Question 13

Do records indicate operator includes performance measures in facility locating services contracts with corresponding and meaningful incentives and penalties?

Q13 Reference Q13 Result Q13 Notes

WAC 480-75-200 Satisfactory Contract is worded to include satisfactory work for continued contract and payment

Question 14

Do locate entities address performance problems for persons performing locating services through mechanisms such as re-training, process change, or changes in staffing levels?

Q14 Reference Q14 Result Q14 Notes

WAC 480-75-200 Satisfactory This is included as part of Recon's OQ program. Seaport has reviewed and accepted the contractors program.

Question 15

Does the operator periodically review the Operator Qualification plan criteria and methods used to qualify personnel to perform locates?

Q15 Reference Q15 Result Q15 Notes

WAC 480-75-200 Satisfactory Review OQ plans when hiring a contractor and as needed

Question 16

Are locates are being made within the timeframes required by RCW 19.122? Examine record sample.

Q16 Reference Q16 Result Q16 Notes

RCW 19.122.035 Satisfactory Reviewed a sample of dig tickets from 2021, no tickets exceed 2 day requirements

Question 17

Are locating and excavating personnel properly qualified in accordance with the operator's Operator Qualification plan and with federal and state requirements?

Q17 Reference Q17 Result Q17 Notes

WAC 480-75-200 Satisfactory Reviewed OQs for Recon, No tasks overdue

OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE RECORDS

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.PT.PRESSTESTRPT.R Has there been a change in MOP for the system? Was a hydrotest conducted as part of an effort to increase MOP? If so, did the operator file a report with the commission at least 45 days prior to pressure testing? If a report was submitted, did it include the change in MOP and sufficient information justifying a higher operating pressure?

Q18 Reference	Q18 Result	Q18 Notes
CONTROLLER CONTROL	Ce lo lesuit	G10140163

WAC 480-75- Not There have been no changes to the MOP Applicable

Question 19

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.RW.DEPTHSURVEY.R (For lines constructed after 4/1/1970) does the operator conduct depth of cover surveys within its ROW every 5 years at a minimum to ensure minimum depth of cover as required by subsections (1) and (2)? In areas subject to erosion and subsoiling, this survey is required every 3 years. SEE CHART in 480-75-640(1) or 195.248 for appropriate depths, and 480-75-640(2) for exceptions.

Question 20

Do leak detection records indicate that the operator provides leak detection for their system under both flow and no-flow conditions? Is the leak detection system capable of detecting n 8% maximum flow leak within 15 minutes or less? Has the company followed its procedure for leak detection and for responding to leak detection alarms? Does the company maintain leak detection maintenance and alarm records?

Q20 Reference	Q20 Result	Q20 Notes
WAC 480-75-	Satisfactory	
300	•	15 barrels over fifteen minutes
		2800 bpm
		0.1% maximum flow leak

Question 21

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.LMOPP.SURGEANALYSIS.R Has the operator conducted surge analyses to ensure that the surge pressure does not exceed 110% of the MOP? Is the operator's pressure relief system designed and operated consistent with that surge analysis at or below MOP except under surge conditions? This also applies to rapid shutdown valves (see Question 20/WAC 480-75-390)

Q21 Reference	Q21 Result	Q21 Notes
WAC 480-75- 320	Satisfactory	Surge Analysis completed by Universal Pegasus in 2012

Question 22

Does the system contain Breakout Tanks (BOT)? If so, do records indicate that the BOTs have an independent level alarm?

Q22 Reference	Q22 Result	Q22 Notes
WAC 480-75-	Satisfactory	Overfill protective device and radar level tank gauge

Question 23

Does the operator rapidly locate and isolate reportable releases from its pipeline? When determining valve type, its location, and shut-off time, does the operator consider the following: Terrain, geohazards, drainage, pipe type and condition. Whenever the operator installs a new rapid shutdown valve, does the operator conduct surge analysis to ensure that surge pressure will not exceed 110% MOP as a result of rapid valve closure?

Q23 Reference	Q23 Result	Q23 Notes
WAC 480-75- 390	Not Applicable	Seaport has not installed any new rapid shutdown valves and has not had any releases of product

Question 24

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS TD.COAT.NEWPIPEINSPECT.R Does the operator electrically inspect all new coated pipe using a holiday detector to check for faults not observable by visual examination? Is the holiday detector operated at the appropriate voltage level for the electrical characteristics of the pipe being tested?

Q24 Reference	Q24 Result	Q24 Notes
WAC 480-75- 410	Not Applicable	Seaport has not installed any new pipe so has not had a need to jeeping the pipeline

Question 25

Has the operator conducted a hydrostatic test of any new or existing line since the last UTC standard comprehensive inspection? When hydrotesting, does the operator adhere to the following requirements: If a manifold is used, is the valve positioned between the pressure testing manifold and the pipeline being tested? Are the isolation valves rated for the manifold test pressure when in the closed position? Did the operator separately pressure test the manifold used in the hydrotest to at least 1.2 times the pipeline test pressure, but not less than the discharge pressure of the pump used for pressure testing? If a pressure relief valve is used, is each such valve must he of adequate capacity and set to relieve at 10% above the hydrotest pressure? Did the operator calibrate the relief valve within 1 month prior to the hydrotest? If a bleed valve is used to protect from overpressure, is the valve readily accessible during testing in case immediate depressurization is required? Did the operator maintain documents signed by a person with sufficient knowledge, certifying the accuracy of test information? Did the test documentation contain the following: Date of test, beginning and ending time of test, beginning and ending temperatures, highest and lowest pressures achieved, and a test chart or other record that shows the pressure maintained at the minimum test pressure throughout the entire test? Did the operator notify local government and fire department with jurisdiction in the area affected by the hydrotest? Did the operator post precautions/warning signs indicating that a hazardous liquid pipeline was under test? Did the operator ensure that no water was added to the pipeline after the hydrotest started? Did the operator comply with applicable rules of WA Department of Ecology addressing disposal of test water?

Q25 Reference	Q25 Result	Q25 Notes
WAC 480-75-	Not	Seaport has not hydrotested their line since initial construction
420	Applicable	

Question 26

Did the operator make any new girth welds on new or repaired section of pipe since the last UTC standard comprehensive inspection? For new girth welds on new or repaired section of pipe: Were all girth welds inspected by radiography or automatic ultrasonic testing in accordance with API 1104? Did the operator

keep a log of each weld inspected and keep all inspection records for the life of the pipeline?

Q26 Reference Q26 Result Q26 Notes

WAC 480-75- Not No welding has been performed since the last UTC inspection

460 Applicable

Question 27

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.MO.MOVE.R Did the operator move or lower any line pipe since the last UTC standard comprehensive inspection? If any pipe was moved/lowered, did the operator prepare a study to determine whether movement would cause an unsafe condition? Was the study reviewed and approved by a person designated by the operator as qualified to review the study? Did the study include pipe stress calculations based on API RP 1117 "Movement of In-Service Pipelines"?

Q27 Reference Q27 Result Q27 Notes

WAC 480-75- Not Seaport has not lowered or moved the pipeline 500 Applicable

Question 28

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS MO.LOMOP.MOPDETERMINE.R Does the operator reevaluate MOP when class locations change? Did the operator reevaluate class locations once every five years at a minimum?

Q28 Reference Q28 Result Q28 Notes

WAC 480-75- Satisfactory There have been no changes in the class location. Study completed September 24, 2021, previous study was completed

550 October 2017

CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS

Question 29

Does each cathodically protected pipeline have test stations and other electrical measurement contact points located at pipe casings and at other locations sufficient to facilitate cathodic protection testing?

Q29 Reference Q29 Result Q29 Notes

WAC 480-75-340 Satisfactory

Test point at each end of pipeline and two points in between. Pipeline is 3 miles long

Breakout tank is also tested during annual survey

Question 30

Did the operator identify any corrosion deficiencies since the last UTC standard comprehensive inspection? Did the operator initiate remedial action as necessary to correct any deficiency observed during corrosion monitoring within 90 days after the operator detected the deficiency?

Q30 Reference Q30 Result Q30 Notes

WAC 480-75-510 Satisfactory There was one low read of -847 mV OFF, read is now -1333 mV

Question 31

Did the company examine all pipe exposed for any reason for evidence of mechanical damage or external corrosion, to include inspection of coating? Did the operator evaluate all mechanical damage and repair as necessary in accordance with procedures? Did the operator repair all coating damage prior to reburial of pipe? If the operator finds active corrosion, general corrosion, or corrosion that has caused a leak, did the operator investigate further to determine the extent of corrosion? Did the operator maintain a report of these inspections for the life of the pipeline?

Q31 Reference Q31 Result Q31 Notes

WAC 480-75-520 Not Applicable Seaport has not exposed their pipeline since being placed in service

DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

Question 32

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.CO.ASMECONSTRUCTION.R Are new pipelines designed and constructed in accordance with ASME B31.4 "Pipeline Transportation Systems for Liquid Hydrocarbon and Other Liquids"? Are longitudinal seams of connecting pipe joints offset by at least 2 inches? Are longitudinal seams located on the upper half of the pipe when laid in an open trench?

Q32 Reference Q32 Result Q32 Notes

WAC 480-75-350 Not Applicable No new pipelines have been constructed since initial construction

Question 33

THIS QUESTION EXISTS IN IA AS DC.COCMP.PMPPROPERTY.R For newly constructed pump stations: Constructed with prior approval of the appropriate zoning authority and having acquired all the necessary permits? In areas not zoned, the pump station shall not be located closer than 500 feet from an existing building intended for human occupancy (other than a building under control of the pipeline company). When locating new pump stations and breakout tanks, does the company consider such hazards as overhead powerlines, geologic faults, areas prone to flooding, landslides, and falling rocks?

Q33 Reference Q33 Result Q33 Notes

WAC 480-75-380 Not Applicable No new pump stations have been built

RECORDS: REQUIRED COMMENTS

RECORDS REVIEW SUMMARY: Comments are required for any rating other than "Satisfactory". Summarize the "Notes" blocks above, and ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.

FIELD INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS

Are proper pipeline markers emplaced wherever line pipe and associated facilities are exposed? Do all lines attached to bridges or otherwise spanning an area have markers visible and readable at both ends of the suspended pipeline? Are markers inspected annually and replaced within 30 days if found to be missing or damaged?

Q34 Result Q34 Notes

Reference Satisfactory Drove the entire ROW during the inspection, many markers visible along ROW and at river crossings. Marker condition looked

WAC 480-75- good. Picture attached in IA

540

Question 35

Are pressure relief devices set at or below MOP?

Q35 Result Q35 Notes

Reference Satisfactory Relief valves set at 265 psig, MOP is 540 psig

WAC 480-75-

320

Question 36

Does leak detection system detect 8% max flow leakage within 15 minutes? Does the sytem detect leakage in both flow and no-flow conditions?

Q36 Q36 Result Q36 Notes

Reference Satisfactory

WAC 480-75-

300

Question 37

Do Breakout Tanks have independent overfill alarms?

Q37 Result Q37 Notes

Reference Satisfactory Overfill alarm tested during field inspection. Alarm received by controller and Olympic

WAC 480-75-

330

Comments - Field Observations - Any rating other than Satisfactory, requires comments. Ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.